arXiv:math/0412334v1 [math.PR] 16 Dec 2004

On finite range stable-type concentration

J.-C. Breton$^{(1,a)}$ and C. Houdré$^{(2,3,b)}$

(1) Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications,
Université de La Rochelle, 17042 La Rochelle Cedex, France

(2) Laboratoire d'Analyse et de Mathématiques Appliquées, CNRS UMR 8050
Université Paris XII, 94010 Créteil Cedex, France

(3) School of Mathematics,
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, U.S.A.

1st February 2008

Abstract

We study the deviation probability $P(f(X)-E[f(X)]\geq x)$ where f is a Lipschitz (for the Euclidean norm) function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and X is an α-stable random vector of index $\alpha\in(1,2)$. We show that the order of this deviation is $e^{-cx^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}}$ or $e^{-cx^{\alpha}}$ according to x taking small values or being in a finite range interval. In the second part, we generalizes these finite range deviations to $P(F-m(F)\geq x)$ where F is a stochastic functional on the Poisson space equipped with a stable Lévy measure of index $\alpha\in(0,2)$ and where $m(F)$ is a median of F.

AMS 2000 Suject Classification. 60G70, 62G07, 62C20, 41A25.
Keywords and phrases. Concentration of measure phenomenon, stable random vectors, infinite divisibility.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The purpose of these notes is to further complete our understanding of the stable concentration phenomenon, by obtaining the finite range behavior of $P(F-E[F]\geq x)$,

---

(a) Email: jcbreton@univ-lr.fr, I thank the School of Mathematics at the Georgia Institute of Technology where part of this research was done.

(b) Email: houdre@math.gatech.edu, Research supported in part by the NSA grant G-37-A56/C.

with $F = f(X)$ where f is a Lipschitz function and X is a stable random vector or with F a stochastic functional on the Poisson space equipped with a stable Lévy measure.

More precisely, in Section 2, we consider an α-stable random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of index $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ a 1-Lipschitz function and we investigate deviation probabilities with respect to the mean,

$$P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x), \tag{1}$$

for all order of x. When x takes small values, the deviation (1) is bounded by $e^{-cx^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}}$, while in Section 2.2 and 2.3, we give results for intermediate values of x, in which case the bound is of order $e^{-cx^{\alpha}}$. In the intermediate range case, we extend the result of [4] which hold for α close enough to 2, to any $\alpha \in (1,2)$. These results complement the $(1/x^{\alpha})$–behavior given for large values of x in [3, Th. 1, Th. 2] and [1, Th. 6.1] and generalize [4, Th. 2] and [1, Th. 6.3].

In Section 3, we further extend the intermediate range results to stochastic functionals on the Poisson space $\Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ equipped with a stable Lévy measure. In this case, the deviations are expressed with respect to a median of the stochastic functionals and we recover as a special case the deviation of Lipschitz function of stable vector of any index $\alpha \in (0,2)$. We also briefly state the small values result for functionals when $\alpha > 1$.

The main ideas of the proof are present in Section 2. In Section 3, dealing with a median rather than with the mean makes the argument more involved, this is the reason for dealing first with stable random vector of index $\alpha \in (1,2)$ in Section 2.

The general scheme of proof is to decompose the stable random vector X in two independent components $Y_R + Z_R$ with $R > 0$ a level of truncation for the Lévy measure. R is then chosen according to the range of deviation we are interested in. We argue similarly in Section 3 with truncated configuration $\omega_R = \omega \cap B(0,R)$, $\omega \in \Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d}$, where $B(0,R)$ is the (Euclidean) ball of radius R centered at 0. In both cases, the remainder is controlled alternatively.

2 Stable random vector of index $\alpha > 1$

In this part, we consider an α-stable random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of index $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ a 1-Lipschitz function with respect to Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ and we investigate the deviation (1). The vector X has an infinitely divisible law $ID(b,0,\nu)$ with Lévy measure given by

$$\nu(B) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma(d\xi) \int_0^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_B(r\xi) r^{-1-\alpha} dr, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{2}$$

Its characteristic function is $\varphi_X = e^{\phi_X}$ with

$$\phi_X(u) = i\langle u, b\rangle + \int_{(\mathbb{R}^*)^d} \left(e^{i\langle u,y\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, y\rangle \mathbf{1}_{||y|| \leq 1}\right)\nu(dy),$$

where $(\mathbb{R}^*)^d = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Write $X = Y_R + Z_R$ where Y_R and Z_R are two independent infinitely divisible random vectors with respective characteristic functions $\varphi_{Y_R} = e^{\phi_{Y_R}}$ and $\varphi_{Z_R} = e^{\phi_{Z_R}}$ whose exponents are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{Z_R}(u) &= \int_{||y||>R} \big(e^{i\langle u,y\rangle} - 1\big)\nu(dy)\\ \phi_{Y_R}(u) &= i\langle u, \tilde{b}\rangle + \int_{||y||\le R} \big(e^{i\langle u,y\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u,y\rangle \mathbf{1}_{||y||\le 1}\big)\nu(dy)\end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{b} = b - \int_{||y||>R} y\mathbf{1}_{||y||\le 1}\nu(dy)$. As in [3],

$$\begin{aligned}&P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \ge x)\\ &\qquad\le P(f(Y_R) - E[f(X)] \ge x) + P(Z_R \ne 0)\\ &\qquad\le P(f(Y_R) - E[f(Y_R)] \ge x - |E[f(X)] - E[f(Y_R)]|) + P(Z_R \ne 0)\\ &\qquad\le P\left(f(Y_R) - E[f(Y_R)] \ge x - E[||Z_R||]\right) + P(Z_R \ne 0) \qquad (3)\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that f is a 1-Lipschitz function. Also as in [3] (see (15)), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}P(Z_R \ne 0) &\le 1 - \exp\left(\nu(\|u\| \ge R)\right)\\ &= 1 - \exp\left(-\int_{\|u\|\ge R} \nu(du)\right)\\ &= 1 - \exp\left(-\int_{\sigma(S^{d-1})} \sigma(d\xi) \int_{\|r\xi\|\ge R} \frac{dr}{r^{1+\alpha}}\right)\\ &= 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}\right) \qquad (4)\\ &\le \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}. \qquad (5)\end{aligned}$$

In order to study the deviation of $f(Y_R)$, we shall apply in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 the lemma given below. This lemma generalizes, to arbitrary order $n \ge 2$, Lemma 2 in [3] which corresponds to $n = 2$.

Lemma 1 *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-Lipschitz function and $Y_R \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a random vector whose Lévy measure ν_R is the stable one truncated at $R > 0$. Let $\delta > 0$.*

For any $n \ge 2$, let $u_n(\alpha, \delta)$ be the unique non-zero (thus positive) solution of

$$e^u - 1 - \frac{\delta(n-1)}{2-\alpha}u = 0,$$

and let

$$u_n^*(\alpha,\delta) = \min_{1<k<\frac{n-1+\alpha}{2}} \left(\left(k!\frac{\delta(k+1-\alpha)}{(n-k)(2-\alpha)}\right)^{1/(k-1)}\right) \wedge u_n(\alpha,\delta). \qquad (6)$$

Then for all

$$x \leq x_0 := (1+\delta(n-1))\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}u_n^*(\alpha,\delta), \tag{7}$$

we have

$$P(f(Y_R) - E[f(Y_R)] \geq x) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(2-\alpha)x^2}{2(1+\delta(n-1))\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{2-\alpha}}\right). \tag{8}$$

Remark 1 In the sequel, except stated otherwise, δ is mainly taken to be 1 and $u_n(\alpha,\delta), u_n^*(\alpha,\delta)$ will simply be denoted $u_n(\alpha), u_n^*(\alpha)$. $\delta > 0$ will be used in Proposition 2 and Remark 4 recovering the Gaussian deviation from the stable one by letting $\delta \to 0$.

Proof. From Theorem 1 in [2], we know that

$$P(f(Y_R) - E[f(Y_R)] \geq x) \leq \exp\left(-\int_0^x h_R^{-1}(s)ds\right), \quad x > 0 \tag{9}$$

with the function h_R given by

$$h_R(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ||u||(e^{s||u||}-1)\,\nu_R(dy), \quad s > 0.$$

Using for any $n \geq 2$,

$$e^{su} - 1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{s^k}{k!}u^k + \frac{e^{sK} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s^k K^k/k!}{K^n}u^n, \qquad 0 \leq u \leq K,\ s \geq 0, \tag{10}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h_R(s) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{s^k}{k!}||u||^{k+1} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{e^{sR} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s^k R^k/k!}{R^n}||u||^{n+1}\Big)\nu_R(dy) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{s^k}{k!}\int_{B(0,R)}||u||^{k+1}\,\nu(dy) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{e^{sR} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s^k R^k/k!}{K^n}\int_{B(0,R)}||u||^{n+1}\,\nu(dy) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\alpha_k - R^{k-n}\alpha_{n-1}\right)\frac{s^k}{k!} + \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{R^n}(e^{sR}-1) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{(sR)^k}{k!}\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}(n-k)}{(k+1-\alpha)(n+1-\alpha)} + \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{n+1-\alpha}(e^{sR}-1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\le \sum_{1\le k<\left[\frac{n-1+\alpha}{2}\right]} \frac{(sR)^k}{k!}\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}(n-k)}{(k+1-\alpha)(n+1-\alpha)} \qquad (11)\\ + \left(1+n-\left[\frac{n-1+\alpha}{2}\right]\right)\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{n+1-\alpha}(e^{sR}-1),\end{aligned}$$

where we set $\alpha_k = \int_{B(0,R)} ||u||\,\nu(du) = \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{k-\alpha}R^{k-\alpha}$ and where the last line follows from the fact that for $k \ge \frac{n-1+\alpha}{2}$, the k-th summand in the above sum is bounded by the last exponential term since $n-k \le k+1-\alpha$.

For $1 < k < \frac{n-1+\alpha}{2}$, set $u_k(\alpha) = \left(k!\frac{\delta(n-1)(k+1-\alpha)}{(n-k)(2-\alpha)}\right)^{1/(k-1)}$ and observe that for $sR \le u_k(\alpha)$, the k-th term in the max is bounded by δ times the first one. Denote also by $u_n(\alpha)$ the unique positive solution of

$$e^u - 1 - \frac{\delta(n-1)}{2-\alpha}u = 0.$$

Next, let

$$u_n^*(\alpha) = u_n(\alpha) \wedge \min_{1<k<\frac{n-1+\alpha}{2}} u_k(\alpha).$$

For $s \le \frac{1}{R}u_n^*(\alpha)$, all the terms in (11) are bounded by δ times the linear term, so that

$$h_R(s) \;\le\; (1+\delta(n-1))\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{n+1-\alpha}\frac{\delta(n-1)}{2-\alpha}sR \le (1+\delta(n-1))\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}sR.$$

Hence, for $t \le x_0 := (1+\delta(n-1))\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}u_n^*(\alpha)$, we can take

$$h_R^{-1}(t) = \frac{(2-\alpha)t}{(1+\delta(n-1))\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{2-\alpha}},$$

which finally yields (8) from (9). ■

Remark 2 (on $u_k(\alpha)$, $u_n(\alpha)$, $u_n^*(\alpha)$, $x_0(n)$)

From now on and except stated otherwise, $\delta = 1$.

- The larger n, the worse the deviation (8) becomes.
- For $n \le 3$, the range $1 < k < \frac{n-1+\alpha}{2}$, in the minimum defining $u_n^*(\alpha)$ is empty and thus $u_n^*(\alpha) = u_n(\alpha)$.
- As in (6.6) of [1], we have

  $$\log\frac{n-1}{2-\alpha} < u_n(\alpha) < 2\log\frac{n-1}{2-\alpha}. \qquad (12)$$

  Moreover, for n respectively larger than $5, 13, 18$, observe that we have $u_n(\alpha) \ge 1, 2, 3$.

- It is easy to see that for $k \geq 2$,

$$k!\frac{n-1}{n-k}\frac{k+1-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \geq k!\frac{3-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \geq 3^{k-1},$$

so that $u_k(\alpha) \geq 3$ and since moreover $u_2(\alpha) = 2\frac{n-1}{n-2}\frac{3-\alpha}{2-\alpha} \leq 4\frac{3-\alpha}{2-\alpha}$, for $n \geq 3$, we have

$$n_0 \leq u_n^*(\alpha) \leq 4\frac{3-\alpha}{2-\alpha},$$

and we can take

$$x_0 = nn_0\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{2-\alpha},$$

with $n_0 = 1, 2, 3$, for respectively $n \geq 5, 13, 18$.

- When n is fixed and $\alpha \nearrow 2$, we have for two constants $K_1(n)$ and $K_2(n)$

$$\frac{K_1(n)}{(2-\alpha)^{2/(n+1)}} < \min_{1<k<\frac{n-1+\alpha}{2}} u_k(\alpha) \leq \frac{K_2(n)}{2-\alpha},$$

so that, from (12), $u_n^*(\alpha) = u_n(\alpha)$ is at most of order $\log \frac{n-1}{2-\alpha}$.

2.1 Lower range for the stable deviation

The deviation (1) for small values of x is given by the following:

Proposition 1 *Let X be a stable random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of index $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-Lipschitz function. Then for all n large enough and for all*

$$\lambda \in \left]\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2(\alpha-1)^2 n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{\alpha(2-\alpha)}}\right), \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1}\left(1+\frac{\alpha-1}{2-\alpha}nu_n^*(\alpha)\right)\right[, \quad (13)$$

there exists $x_1(n,\alpha,\lambda) > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq x \leq x_1(n,\alpha,\lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/(\alpha-1)}}(\lambda - \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1})^2\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\right) + \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \leq 1. \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

In (14), our purpose is to investigate the order of deviation from the mean of Lipschitz function of stable random vector and for x small. The order obtained is essentially $\exp\left(-cx^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}\right)$ for some explicit constant c. Note that the exponent $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ of x in this bound goes to 2 when α goes to 2, this is reminiscent of the Gaussian case. A more precise statement is given in Remark 4 in connexion with Gaussian bound of deviation.

Proof. In order to investigate deviation for small values of x, in this section the level of truncation is chosen by setting

$$x = \frac{\lambda}{R^{\alpha-1}}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is as in the Proposition 1.

Set also $u(R) = (\lambda - \frac{\sigma}{\alpha-1})/R^{\alpha-1} = \tilde{\lambda}/R^{\alpha-1}$. Note that Z_R has a compound Poisson structure and is the same in law as $Z_R = \sum_{k=0}^{N} Z_k$, where $Z_0 = 0$, and the Z_k, $k \geq 1$, are i.i.d. random vectors with law $\dfrac{\nu_{Z_R}}{\nu\{||u|| > R\}}$ and N is an independent Poisson random variable with intensity $\nu\{||u|| > R\}$. Hence, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$P(Z_R \in B) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\nu\{||u||>R\}}}{n!} (\nu\{||u|| > R\})^n P\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} Z_k \in B\right). \tag{15}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E[||Z_R||] &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\nu\{||u||>R\}}}{n!} (\nu\{||u|| > R\})^n E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n} ||Z_k||\right] \\ &= E[||Z_1||] e^{-\nu\{||u||>R\}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\nu\{||u|| > R\}^n}{(n-1)!} \\ &= E[||Z_1||]\, \nu\{||u|| > R\} \\ &= \int_{||u||>R} ||u|| \nu(du) = \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha - 1} R^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Moreover, we also have a lower bound as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E[||Z_R||] &= \int_0^{+\infty} P(||Z_R|| > x) dx \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-\nu\{||u||>R\}}}{n!} (\nu\{||u|| > R\})^n \int_0^{+\infty} P\left(\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n} Z_k\right\| > x\right) dx \\ &\geq e^{-\nu\{||u||>R\}} \nu\{||u|| > R\} \int_0^{+\infty} P(||Z_1|| > x) dx \\ &= e^{-\nu\{||u||>R\}} \nu\{||u|| > R\} E[||Z_1||] \\ &= \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{(\alpha-1)R^{\alpha-1}} e^{-\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^{\alpha}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From (16) and (17), we get

$$\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{(\alpha-1)R^{\alpha-1}} e^{-\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^{\alpha}}} \leq E[||Z_R||] \leq \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{(\alpha-1)R^{\alpha-1}}. \tag{18}$$

Next, we go back to the study of

$$P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \leq P(f(Y_R) - E[f(Y_R)] \geq u(R)) + P(Z_R \neq 0). \tag{19}$$

For the second summand, a bound is given in (5). For the first summand, applying Lemma 1, we have

$$P(f(Y_R) - E[f(Y_R)] \geq u(R)) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})} \frac{u(R)^2}{R^{2-\alpha}}\right) \tag{20}$$

as long as $0 < u(R) < n\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}u_n^*(\alpha)$ that is as long as

$$\left(\lambda - \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1}\right)\frac{1}{R^{\alpha-1}} < \frac{n\sigma(S^{d-1})u_n^*(\alpha)}{2-\alpha}R^{1-\alpha}$$

that is for

$$\lambda < \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1}\left(1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{2-\alpha}nu_n^*(\alpha)\right). \tag{21}$$

From (19) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/(\alpha-1)}}(\lambda - \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1})^2\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\right) + \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The bound (22) makes sense if the right-hand side is smaller than 1, this is true at 0^+ if

$$\lambda > \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1} + \sqrt{\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{\alpha(2-\alpha)}}, \tag{23}$$

and (21), (23) are compatible if

$$\frac{2(2-\alpha)\sigma(S^{d-1})^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{\alpha n} < u_n^*(\alpha)^2, \tag{24}$$

and this is true if n is large enough or if α is close enough to 2. ■

Remark 3 (Comments on the bound and on the range of Proposition 1)

- In fact, the bound (14) holds for all $x > 0$ but makes sense only for $x < x_1(n, \alpha, \lambda)$.
- The value $x_1(n, \alpha, \lambda)$ in the bound of the domain of Proposition 1 can be made more precise: $x_1(n, \alpha, \lambda) = \lambda u_0(n, \alpha, \lambda)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}$ where $u_0(n, \alpha, \lambda)$ is the unique positive solution of

$$\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/(\alpha-1)}}(\lambda - \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1})^2 u\right) + \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha}u = 1.$$

In particular, we have

$$u_0(n, \alpha, \lambda) \geq \frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/(\alpha-1)}}{(2-\alpha)(\lambda - \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1})^2}\ln\left(\frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}}(\lambda - \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1})^2\right)$$

so that we can take

$$x_1(n, \alpha, \lambda) = \lambda\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/\alpha}\left(\frac{2n}{(2-\alpha)(\lambda - \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1})^2}\ln\left(\frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}}(\lambda - \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1})^2\right)\right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}. \tag{25}$$

• In order to optimize the bound (14) with repect to λ, observe that the first summand (which gives the right order) gives the best bound for

$$\lambda=\lambda_0(\alpha):=\frac{\alpha\sigma(S^{d-1})}{(2-\alpha)(\alpha-1)}.$$

Denote by $]\lambda_1(n,\alpha),\lambda_1(n,\alpha)[$, the interval in (13).
We have $\lambda_0(\alpha)\geq\lambda_1(n,\alpha)$ if $n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\leq\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ and $\lambda_0(\alpha)\leq\lambda_2(n,\alpha)$ if $nu_n^*(\alpha)\geq 2$ so that usually

$$\lambda_0(\alpha)\leq\lambda_1(n,\alpha)$$

and the best order should thus occurs for $\lambda=\lambda_1(n,\alpha)$. But for this choice $x_1(n,\alpha,\lambda)=0$ and the domain of deviation is empty. There is thus no optimal choice for $\lambda\in]\lambda_1(n,\alpha),\lambda_1(n,\alpha)[$: for $\lambda=\lambda_1(n,\alpha)$, the deviation is the best but the domain is empty, while for $\lambda=\lambda_2(n,\alpha)$ the domain is the largest but the deviation is the worst. See below in Remark 5 for a deviation without extra parameter.

• In (14), the exponential is the main term of the bound. For any $\varepsilon>0$, we thus can rewrite (14), for x small enough

$$P(f(X)-E[f(X)]\geq x)\leq(1+\varepsilon)\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/(\alpha-1)}}(\lambda-\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1})^2\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\right).$$

In fact, it gives the order of stable deviation for small values of x: roughly speaking, it is in $\exp\big(-cx^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\big)$.

Remark 4 (Gaussian deviation) We can recover the Gaussian deviation from the bound (14) letting α goes to 2 properly. To this way and following [4], consider a stable random vector $X^{(\alpha)}$ whose Lévy measure has spherical component σ given by the sum of Dirac measures at the points $(0,\dots,0,\pm1,0,\dots,0)$ and with total mass $\sigma(S^{d-1})=2-\alpha$. The components of $X^{(\alpha)}$ are thus independent and when α goes to 2, the vector $X^{(\alpha)}$ converges in distribution to a standard Gaussian random vector W. Moreover, note that when we take limit $\alpha\to 2$ with $\sigma(S^{d-1})=2-\alpha$ in (21) and (25), the ranges for λ and for x in Proposition 1 becomes $\lambda\in(0,\infty)$ and $x\in(0,\infty)$ while the bound (14) becomes $\exp(-x^2/(2n))$. This is not exactly the classical bound for Gaussian deviation. But, first note that we could replace n large in Proprosition 1 by α close enough to 2 (see inequality (24) in the proof). Then apply Lemma 1 with arbirary $\delta>0$ and take limit $\alpha\to 2$ in (14), we obtain similarly

$$P(f(W)-E[f(W)]\geq x)\leq\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2n_\delta}\right),$$

for any $x>0$. Finally, letting $\delta\to 0$ yields the Gaussian deviation bound for all $x>0$:

$$P(f(W)-E[f(W)]\geq x)\leq\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right).$$

The same can be made from the intermediate regime of deviation studied in Section 2.2, see the Remark 8.

Remark 5 We can give a deviation bound for small values of x without introducing an extra parameter λ as in Proposition 1. To this way, let $\varepsilon > 0$. For all $n \geq 5$ (or for α close enough to 2), there exists $x_0(n, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq x < x_0(n, \varepsilon)$,

$$P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \leq (1+\varepsilon) \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/(\alpha-1)}} \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} x^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \right). \quad (26)$$

The drawback of this bound is its range since we do not know explicitly $x_0(n, \varepsilon)$.

The proof follows the same lines of reasoning as that of Proposition 1 but with the level of truncation chosen by setting

$$x = \alpha E[||Z_R||].$$

Set also $u(R) = (\alpha - 1)E[||Z_R||]$ and study the summands of (19). Applying Lemma 1 and using

$$\frac{u(R)^2}{R^{2-\alpha}} = \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\right)^2 \frac{x^2}{R^{2-\alpha}} \geq \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(S^{d-1})}\right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} x^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}},$$

the first summand in the right hand side of (19) is bounded as follows

$$P(f(Y_R) - E[f(Y_R)] \geq u(R)) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/(\alpha-1)}} \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} x^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \right)$$

as long as $0 < \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} x = u(R) < n\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} u_n^*(\alpha)$ that is as long as

$$E[||Z_R||] < \frac{n\sigma(S^{d-1})u_n^*(\alpha)}{(2-\alpha)(\alpha-1)} R^{1-\alpha},$$

which, from the right-hand side of (18), is true if $\dfrac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1} < \dfrac{n\sigma(S^{d-1})u_n^*(\alpha)}{(2-\alpha)(\alpha-1)}$, that is if $nu_n^*(\alpha) > 2 - \alpha$, which is true at least for α close enough to 2 or for $n \geq 5$ since then $u_n^*(\alpha) \geq 1$. Using (5) for the second summand in the right-hand side of (19), we derive

$$P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/(\alpha-1)}} \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} x^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \right) + \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}. \quad (27)$$

But from (18), when x goes to 0, we have $R \to +\infty$ or $R \to 0$. Moreover, when $x \to 0$, $E[||Z_R||] \to 0$, so that $Z_R \to 0$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $Z_R \Rightarrow \delta_0$. This implies the convergence of $\nu_{Z_R} = \nu_{B(0,R)^c}$ to 0, from which we derive $R \to +\infty$. Thus when x is small, the main term in the bound (27) in given by the exponential. Since the second term goes to 0, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is some $x_0(n, \varepsilon)$ such that for $x \leq x_0(n, \varepsilon)$, the bound (26) holds.

2.2 Intermediate range for the stable deviation

In this section, we study the deviation (1) for intermediate values of x. To this end, the level of truncation is changed in (3). Moreover in order to derive the Gaussian deviation from the stable one as a limiting case, we shall use Lemma 1 with parameter $\delta > 0$. In the limiting case, δ will goes to 0. First, we can state:

Proposition 2 *Let X be a stable random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of index $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-Lipschitz function. Let $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. Set $n_\delta = (1+\delta(n-1))$. Then for any ε satisfying*

$$\varepsilon > \frac{(2-\alpha)e}{2\alpha n_\delta}, \tag{28}$$

we have

$$P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \leq (1+\varepsilon)\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta \sigma(S^{d-1})}\left(\frac{x}{1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta(\alpha-1)u_1(n_\delta,\alpha)}}\right)^\alpha\right), \tag{29}$$

for any x such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2n_\delta\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}u_1(n_\delta,\alpha)\left(1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta(\alpha-1)u_1(n_\delta,\alpha)}\right)^\alpha < x^\alpha < \\ &\frac{2n_\delta\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\big(u_2(n_\delta,\alpha)\wedge u_n^*(\alpha,\delta)/2\big)\left(1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n(\alpha-1)\big(u_2(n_\delta,\alpha)\wedge u_n^*(\alpha,\delta)/2\big)}\right)^\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where $u_1(n_\delta,\alpha)$ and $u_2(n_\delta,\alpha)$ are the solutions of

$$e^u - \frac{2n_\delta\alpha\varepsilon}{2-\alpha}u = 0$$

and $u_n^*(\alpha,\delta)$ is given by (6).

Proof. First, using the right-hand side of (18), the bound (3) becomes

$$P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \leq P\left(f(Y_R) - E[f(Y_R)] \geq x - \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1}R^{1-\alpha}\right) + P(Z_R \neq 0).$$

Next choose the level of truncation by setting

$$R\left(1+\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{(\alpha-1)R^\alpha}\right) = x. \tag{31}$$

We thus have

$$P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \leq P(f(Y_R) - E[f(Y_R)] \geq R) + P(Z_R \neq 0). \tag{32}$$

Applying Lemma 1 with $x = R$ in (8) to estimate the first summand in the right-hand side of (32) and using (5) for the second one, the bound (32) then becomes

$$P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(2-\alpha)R^\alpha}{2n_\delta\sigma(S^{d-1})}\right) + \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}. \tag{33}$$

as long as, using (7) with $x = R$,

$$R^\alpha \leq n_\delta \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} u_n^*(\alpha, \delta). \tag{34}$$

Next, we compare the two summands in the right-hand side of (33). To do this, set $u = \frac{(2-\alpha)R^\alpha}{2n_\delta \sigma(S^{d-1})}$ and let us compare $\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha u}$ to e^{-u} studying the function

$$h_{n,\alpha}(u) = e^u - \frac{2n_\delta \alpha\varepsilon}{2-\alpha} u, \tag{35}$$

Note that $h_{n,\alpha}$ has a unique minimum at $u_0 = \log \frac{2n_\delta\alpha\varepsilon}{2-\alpha}$, which is negative because of (28). We thus have

$$P(f(X) - E[f(X)] \geq x) \leq (1+\varepsilon) \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta \sigma(S^{d-1})} R^\alpha\right), \tag{36}$$

for

$$\frac{2n_\delta \sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} u_1(n_\delta, \alpha) < R^\alpha < \frac{2n_\delta \sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} u_2(n_\delta, \alpha), \tag{37}$$

and still under the condition (34), with moreover R given by the relation (31).

We now express (36) and its conditions (34) and (37) in terms of x. Since from (31)

$$R \leq x \leq R\left(1 + \frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta(\alpha-1)u_1(n_\delta, \alpha)}\right), \tag{38}$$

the deviation bound (36) becomes (29).

To express the range in terms of x, note that we have $x = \theta(R^\alpha)$ with

$$\theta(u) = u^{1/\alpha}\left(1 + \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{(\alpha-1)u}\right).$$

The function θ is an increasing bijection from $[\sigma(S^{d-1}), +\infty)$ to $[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/\alpha}, +\infty)$.

Then, note that $\sigma(S^{d-1}) \leq \frac{2n_\delta \sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} u_1(n_\delta, \alpha)$, that is $\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta} \leq u_1(n_\delta, \alpha)$, which is equivalent to have $h_{n,\alpha}(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}) \geq 0$ and $h'_{n,\alpha}(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}) \leq 0$. Indeed, writing $\varepsilon = \frac{(2-\alpha)\tilde{e}}{2n_\delta \alpha}$ in (28), with some $\tilde{e} > e$, we have

$$h_{n,\alpha}\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}\right) \quad = \quad \exp\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}\right) - \alpha\varepsilon = \exp\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}\right) - \frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}\tilde{e}.$$

Let $\eta(u) = e^u - \tilde{e}u$. Since $\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta} \leq 1/2 < 1$, we have $\eta(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}) > 0$ as long as $\tilde{e}$ is such that $\tilde{e} \leq 2\sqrt{e}$. We dedude $h_{n,\alpha}(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}) \geq 0$.

Next $h'_{n,\alpha}\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}\right) - \frac{2n_\delta\alpha}{2-\alpha}\varepsilon \leq \exp\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta}\right) - e$, which is nonpositive since $2-\alpha \leq 2n_\delta$. Finally,

$$\sigma(S^{d-1}) \leq \frac{2n_\delta \sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} u_1(n_\delta, \alpha).$$

Using θ in the domain where it defines an increasing bijection, the conditions (34) and (37) can be rewritten as:

$$\theta\left(\frac{2n_\delta\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}u_1(n_\delta,\alpha)\right) < x < \theta\left(\frac{2n_\delta\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\big(u_2(n_\delta,\alpha)\wedge u_n^*(\alpha,\delta)/2\big)\right).$$

The range of the deviation (29) is thus (30) when ε satisfies

$$\frac{(2-\alpha)e}{2n_\delta\alpha} < \varepsilon < \frac{(2-\alpha)\sqrt{e}}{n_\delta\alpha}.$$

Finally, it is clear than if the deviation (29) holds for some $\varepsilon > 0$, it also holds for any larger ε. The condition on ε can thus be reduced to (28). ■

Remark 6

- From (28), note that

$$h_{n,\alpha}\left(\log\left(\frac{2n_\delta\alpha\varepsilon}{2-\alpha}\right)\right) = \frac{2n_\delta\alpha\varepsilon}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\log\left(\frac{2n_\delta\alpha\varepsilon}{2-\alpha}\right)\right) < 0$$

so that $u_2(n_\delta,\alpha) > \log\left(\frac{2n_\delta\alpha\varepsilon}{2-\alpha}\right) > 1$.

- The parameter $n \geq 2$ is free in Proposition 2. Thus hanging n allows to shift the range (30) so that we can derive a e^{-cx^α} -type bound of deviation on a larger domain, by eventually changing n and the generic constant c.

Remark 7 (Comments on the bounds in the domain (30)**)**

It is interesting to investigate the behavior of $\frac{2n_\delta\alpha}{2-\alpha}u_i(n_\delta,\alpha)$, $i=1,2$, when α goes to 2 or when n goes to $+\infty$ in the domain given by (30).

To simplify notation, (35) is written as $h_a(u) = e^u - \frac{\varepsilon}{a}u$ with $\varepsilon > ae$, and without loss of generality, we study the behavior of the zeros $u_1(a) < u_2(a)$ of $h_a(u)$ as $a \to 0$.

Since $h_a(\log(\varepsilon/a)) < 0$ and $\lim_{a\to 0} h_a(a^\lambda) = 1-\lambda\varepsilon$, for $\lambda < \varepsilon < \tilde{\lambda}$, we have

$$\lambda a \leq u_1(a) \leq \tilde{\lambda} a \leq \log(\varepsilon/a) \leq u_2(a).$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{a\to 0}\frac{u_1(a)}{a} = \varepsilon, \quad \lim_{a\to 0}\frac{u_2(a)}{a} = +\infty,$$

that is in our setting

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 2 \text{ or } n\to+\infty}\frac{2n_\delta\alpha}{2-\alpha}u_1(n_\delta,\alpha) = 1/\varepsilon, \qquad \lim_{\alpha\to 2 \text{ or } n\to+\infty}\frac{2n_\delta\alpha}{2-\alpha}u_2(n_\delta,\alpha) = +\infty. \tag{39}$$

For α close to 2 or for n large enough, $1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta(\alpha-1)u_1(n_\delta,\alpha)} \to 1+\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{\alpha-1}$, so that for $\varepsilon < 1$ and for α close to 2 or for n large enough, $1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta(\alpha-1)u_1(n_\delta,\alpha)} \leq 1+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$.

In this case, the deviation (29) becomes

$$P(f(X)-E[f(X)]\geq x)\leq(1+\varepsilon)\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n_\delta\sigma(S^{d-1})}\left(\frac{x}{1+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\right)^\alpha\right),$$

and the range (30) reduces to

$$\left(1+\frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{\alpha-1}\right)^\alpha\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha\varepsilon}<x^\alpha<\begin{cases}\dfrac{2n_\delta\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\log\left(\sqrt{\dfrac{n_\delta-1}{2-\alpha}}\right) & \text{if } \alpha\to 2,\\ \dfrac{3n_\delta\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} & \text{if } n\to+\infty.\end{cases}$$

Remark 8 (More on Gaussian deviation)

- When α is close to 2, we recover (i) of Theorem 2 in [4] and in particular still following [4], we recover Gaussian deviation. To this way, as in Remark 4, consider a stable random vector $X^{(\alpha)}$ whose Lévy measure has spherical component σ given by the sum of Dirac measures at the points $(0,\dots,0,\pm1,0,\dots,0)$ and with total mass $\sigma(S^{d-1})=2-\alpha$. When $\alpha\to2$, the vector $X^{(\alpha)}$ converges in distribution to a standard Gaussian random vector W, so that (29) yields

$$P(f(W)-E[f(W)]\geq x)\leq(1+\varepsilon)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2n_\delta}\left(\frac{x}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^2\right),$$

for any $x>0$, since the left-hand side of (30) goes to 0 when α goes to 2 ($u_1(n_\delta,\alpha)\to0$ as $\alpha\to2$) while its right-hand side goes to $+\infty$ ($u_2(n_\delta,\alpha)\to+\infty$ and $u_n^*(\alpha,\delta)\to+\infty$ as $\alpha\to2$).

Finally, letting $\varepsilon\to0$ and $\delta\to0$ yields the Gaussian deviation bound for all $x>0$:

$$P(f(W)-E[f(W)]\geq x)\leq\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right).$$

- Note also that letting $\delta\to0$ in the range (30) does not allow to recover a deviation result for arbitrary small values of x. Proposition 1 cannot thus be derived from Proposition 2 and vice versa.

2.3 Another intermediate range for stable deviation

The deviation and the range obtained in Section 2.2 depend on $u_1(n_\delta,\alpha)$ which is not explicit. In this section, using directly (4) rather than the bound (5), we obtain the same type of result, probably less sharp, but with more explicit bounds.

Proposition 3 *Let X be a stable random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of index $\alpha\in(1,2)$ and let $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ be a 1-Lipschitz function. Then for any ε satisfying*

$$\varepsilon\geq ec=\frac{(2-\alpha)e}{2n\alpha},\tag{40}$$

we have

$$P(f(X)-E[f(X)]\geq x)\leq(1+\varepsilon)\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}\left(\frac{x}{1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n(\alpha-1)\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)}}\right)^{\alpha}\right). \tag{41}$$

for all x such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)\left(1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n(\alpha-1)\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)}\right)^{\alpha}\\ &<x^{\alpha}<\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(\left(1+\left(\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\wedge 0.68\right)\right)\wedge u_n^*(\alpha)/2\right)\times \\ &\left(1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n(\alpha-1)\left(\left(1+\left(\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\wedge 0.68\right)\right)\wedge u_n^*(\alpha)/2\right)}\right)^{\alpha}\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where $u_n^*(\alpha)$ is given in (6) *with $\delta=1$.* -

Proof. With (4), equation (32) becomes

$$P(f(X)-E[f(X)]\geq x)\leq\exp\left(-\frac{(2-\alpha)R^{\alpha}}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}\right)+1-\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^{\alpha}}\right), \tag{43}$$

as long as (34) holds (still using (7) with $y=R$).

We compare now the two summands in the right-hand side of (43). To do so, let $u=\frac{(2-\alpha)R^{\alpha}}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}$ and let $c=\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}$ and compare $1-e^{-c/u}$ and e^{-u}. To this end, let us study for some $\varepsilon>0$ the function

$$g_{n,\alpha}(u)=\varepsilon e^{-u}+e^{-c/u} \tag{44}$$

and in particular let us see when it is larger than 1. We cannot study the function $g_{n,\alpha}$ in (44) as easily as the function $g_{n,\alpha}$ in (35), the argument is thus different from that of Section 2.2. However (40) guarantees that $g_{n,\alpha}$ takes values larger than 1 since $g_{n,\alpha}(1)\geq c+e^{-c}\geq 1$, for all $c>0$. We investigate now for some interval containing 1 where $g_{n,\alpha}$ is larger than 1. Since $\varepsilon>ec$, we look for $ce^{1-u}+e^{-c/u}\geq 1$.

Observe first that for some fixed u, $c\to ce^{1-u}+e^{-c/u}\geq 1$ increases for $c\geq c_0(u)=u(u-1)-u\ln u>0$.

Elementary computations show that $|u-1|^{3/2}\geq c_0(u)$ for all $u\leq u_1\simeq 3.2$ and

$$|u-1|^{3/2}e^{1-u}+e^{-|u-1|^{3/2}/u}\geq 1$$

for $0\leq u\leq u_2\simeq 1.68$. Next, for $\varepsilon>ec$, $|u-1|^{3/2}\leq c$, and $u\leq u_2$, we have

$$1\leq|u-1|^{3/2}e^{1-u}+e^{-|u-1|^{3/2}/u}\leq ce^{1-u}+e^{-c/u}\leq\varepsilon e^{1-u}+e^{-c/u}.$$

Finally, we derive

$$1-\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}\right)\le \varepsilon\exp\left(-\frac{(2-\alpha)R^\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}\right),$$

for R in the range

$$0<\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)<R^\alpha<\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1+\left(\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\wedge 0.68\right)\right). \tag{45}$$

We thus obtain a mobile range of varying length for which we have

$$P(f(X)-E[f(X)]\ge x)\le(1+\varepsilon)\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}R^\alpha\right). \tag{46}$$

We now express the deviation (46) and its conditions (34) and (45) in terms of x. Since

$$R\le x\le R\left(1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n(\alpha-1)\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)}\right),$$

(41) is obtained by using the bound (46).

To express the range in terms of x, argue as in the proof of Proposition 2 using the function θ. Note that $\sigma(S^{d-1})\le\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)$; indeed this is the same as

$$\frac{2-\alpha}{2n}\le 1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}$$

and this is true since $\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\le 1/4$ and $\alpha u\le 2u\le 1-u^{2/3}$, for all $0\le u\le 1/4$.

We can thus use the function θ in the domain where it defines an increasing bijection, the conditions (34) and (45) can be rewritten as:

$$\theta\left(\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)\right)$$
$$<x<\theta\left(\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(\left(1+\left(\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\wedge 0.68\right)\right)\wedge u_n^*(\alpha)/2\right)\right).$$

Finishing these computations, we obtain the range (42). ■

Remark 9 (Comments on the bounds in the domain (42)**)**

We discuss below the behavior of the domain (42) as α goes to 2 or as n goes to $+\infty$. Let $\eta>0$. Since $\left(1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n(\alpha-1)\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)}\right)^\alpha\le 1+\eta$, the deviation (41) can be rewritten as

$$P(f(X)-E[f(X)]\ge x)\le(1+\varepsilon)\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2(1+\eta)n\sigma(S^{d-1})}x^\alpha\right).$$

Moreover, since $\left(1+\frac{2-\alpha}{2n(\alpha-1)\left(\log\frac{2n}{2-\alpha}\wedge u_n^*(\alpha)/2\right)}\right)^\alpha \geq 1$, the domain in (42) can thus be replaced, for α close enough to 2 or for n large enough, by

$$\frac{2(1+\eta)n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right) < x^\alpha < \tag{47}$$
$$\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(\left(1+\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)\wedge u_n^*(\alpha)/2\right).$$

But, we have seen that for α close enough to 2, $u_n^*(\alpha) = u_n(\alpha)$ satisfies (12), so that we obtain the following domain with two different orders in the lower and upper bounds in n or α:

$$\frac{2(1+\eta)n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right) < x^\alpha < \frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\log\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2-\alpha}}.$$

For n large enough, $u_n^*(\alpha) \in [3, 4\frac{3-\alpha}{2-\alpha}]$ is bounded so that the minimum in (47) is $u_n^*(\alpha)/2$ and the domain is of the following type:

$$\frac{2(1+\eta)n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right) < x^\alpha < \frac{3n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}.$$

Finally, observe that for α close enough to 2 or for n large enough, ε can be chosen arbitrary small in (40). We thus recover the same type of deviation as that obtained in [4] for α close enough to 2.

Remark 10

- The deviations (29) and (41) obtained in Sections 2.2 and 2.3 are of the same type. The ranges in (30) and (42) cannot however be completely compared since we cannot compare $u_1(n,\alpha)$ and $1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}$; this requires to study the sign of $h_{n,\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right)$. In the limiting cases $\alpha \to 2$ or $n \to +\infty$, the ranges obtained is Section 2.2 are better.

- Three regimes of deviation for the Lipschitz function of stable vector $f(X)$ are thus available. Roughly speaking, the regimes and their ranges are the following:

  - for x small, the order is $\exp\left(-cx^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\right)$;
  - for x^α of order $\sigma(S^{d-1})$, the order is e^{-cx^α};
  - for x^α of order bigger than $\sigma(S^{d-1})$, the order is c/x^α.

  Here c stands for a generic constant, different at each occurence and which depends on α and the dimension d.

3 Poisson space with a stable Lévy measure of index $\alpha \in (0,2)$

In this part, we study the deviation $P(F-m(F) \geq x)$ where F is a stochastic functional on the Poisson space $\Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ equipped with the stable Lévy measure ν given by (2). We recall that $\Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ denote the set of Radon measures

$$\Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d} = \left\{ \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_{t_i} \ : \ (t_i)_{i=1}^{i=N} \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \ t_i \neq t_j, \ \forall i \neq j, \ N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \right\},$$

where ϵ_t denotes the Dirac measure at $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In the sequel, P is the Poisson measure with intensity ν on $\Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d}$. On the Poisson space, we dispose of the linear, closable, finite difference operator

$$D : L^2(\Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d}, P) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d} \times \mathbb{R}^d, P \otimes \nu)$$

defined via

$$D_x F(\omega) = F(\omega \cup \{x\}) - F(\omega), \qquad dP \times \nu(d\omega, dx)\text{-a.e.},$$

where as a convention we identify $\omega \in \Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ with its support, cf. e.g. [1] and the references therein.

In this section, we generalize the deviation bounds obtained in Section 2 to the case of stochastic functionals satisfying

$$|D_y F(\omega)| \leq ||y||, \qquad P(d\omega) \otimes \nu(dy)\text{-a.e.}$$

Roughly speaking, this hypothesis on DF is the analogous of f Lipschitz in Section 2. Since the mean may not exist anymore, deviation are expressed henceforth with respect to a median $m(F)$ of the stochastic functional F. Note finally that the case of Lipschitz function of stable vector $f(X)$ can be recovered, as a particular case, in this framework for any index $\alpha \in (0,2)$.

As previously explained, configurations are truncated and we deal with the functional restricted to the truncated configuration ω_R with Lemma 2 while the rest of the configuration ω_R^c is controlled by some function γ.

$$\begin{aligned} P(F-m(F) \geq x) &= P(F-m(F) \geq x, \ \omega_R^c = \emptyset) + P(F-m(F) \geq x, \ \omega_R^c \neq \emptyset) \\ &\leq P(F_R - m(F) \geq x) + P(\{\omega \in \Omega^X \ : \ \omega_R^c \neq \emptyset\}). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The second summand in the right-hand side of (48) is dominated as in (6.2) in [1]:

$$P(\{\omega \in \Omega^X \ : \ \omega \cap B_X(0,R)^c \neq \emptyset\}) \leq \gamma(R) \tag{49}$$

where $\gamma(R)$ can be chosed to be either $\gamma(R) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}\right)$ or $\gamma(R) = \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}$. This choice will be discussed latter. Using the notations of the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [1], we have

$$D_y g(F_R)(\omega) \leq |D_y F(\omega_R)| \leq |y|_X, \quad P(d\omega) \otimes \nu(dy) \text{ a.e.},$$

where $g(x) = (x - m(F_R))^+ \wedge r$. Thus

$$\sup_{y \in B_X(0,R)} D_y g(F_R) \leq R, \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

and for $k \geq 2$

$$\|Dg(F_R)\|^k_{L^\infty(\Omega^X, L^k(\nu_R))} \leq \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{k-\alpha} R^{k-\alpha}.$$

First, we have as in (5.6) of [1]

$$P(F_R - m(F_R) \geq y) \leq P(g(F_R) \geq y) \leq P(g(F_R) - E[g(F_R)] \geq y/2).$$

We apply now the following lemma to $g(F_R)$, this lemma deals with functionals on truncated configurations $F_R(\omega) = F(\omega_R)$ and is the counterpart of Lemma 1 in the same way Lemma 5.5 in [1] is that of Lemma 2 in [3].

Lemma 2 *Let $R > 0$, and let $F : \Omega^{\mathbb{R}^d} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:*

$$\sup_{y \in B(0,R)} |D_y F(\omega)| \leq R \quad P(d\omega)\text{-a.s.}$$

For any $n \geq 2$, let $u_n(\alpha)$ be the unique non-zero (thus positive) solution of

$$e^u - 1 - \frac{n-1}{2-\alpha} u = 0,$$

and let

$$u_n^*(\alpha) = \min_{1 < k < \frac{n-1+\alpha}{2}} \left(\left(k! \frac{(n-1)(k+1-\alpha)}{(n-k)(2-\alpha)} \right)^{1/(k-1)} \right) \wedge u_n(\alpha).$$

Then for all

$$x \leq x_0 := n \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1}) R^{1-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} u_n^*(\alpha), \tag{50}$$

we have

$$P(F_R - E[F_R] \geq x) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(2-\alpha)x^2}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{2-\alpha}} \right).$$

Proof. The proof follows the lines of that of Lemma 1 with the following changes. Starting from Proposition 2.2 in [1] in place of Theorem 1 in [2] we have (9) with the function h_R given by

$$h_R(s) = \sup_{(\omega,\omega') \in \Omega^X \times \Omega^X} \left| \int_X (e^{sD_y F(\omega)} - 1)\, D_y F(\omega') \nu_R(dy) \right|, \quad s > 0.$$

Using the bounds $|D_y F| \leq K := R$, for $y \in B(0,R)$ and for any $n \geq 2$ the inequality (10), we have

$$h_R(s) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{s^k}{k!} \sup_{\omega,\omega' \in \Omega^X} \int_X |D_y F(\omega)|^k |D_y F(\omega')|\, \nu_R(dy)$$

$$+\frac{e^{sK}-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}s^kK^k/k!}{K^n}\sup_{\omega,\omega'\in\Omega^X}\int_X|D_yF(\omega)|^n|D_yF(\omega')|\;\nu_R(dy). \tag{51}$$

Thus, using the inequality $xy\le x^p/p+y^q/q$, for $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ and $x,y\ge 0$, we have for $q=k+1$ and $p=\frac{k+1}{k}$, $1\le k\le n$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{\omega,\omega'\in\Omega^X}\int_X|D_yF(\omega)|^k|D_yF(\omega')|\nu_R(dy)\\ &\le\frac{k}{k+1}\sup_{\omega,\omega'\in\Omega^X}\int_X|D_yF(\omega)|^{k+1}\nu_R(dy)+\frac{1}{k+1}\sup_{\omega,\omega'\in\Omega^X}\int_X|D_yF(\omega')|^{k+1}\nu_R(dy)=\alpha_{k+1},\end{aligned}$$

where for all $k\ge 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_k&=\|DF\|^k_{L^\infty(\Omega^X,L^k(\nu_R))}\\ &\le\int_{\{|y|_2\le R\}}|y|_2^k\nu_R(dy)\\ &=\int_{S^{d-1}}\sigma(d\xi)\int_{\{|r\xi|_2\le R\}}r^{k-1-\alpha}dr\\ &\le\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{k-\alpha}R^{k-\alpha}:=\tilde{\alpha}_k.\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this last bound in (51), we recover (11) in the proof of Lemma 1 and we finish similarly. ■

Applying Lemma 2 fo $g(F_R)$, so that for $y\le 2x_0=2n\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{1-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}u_n^*(\alpha)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}P(F_R-m(F_R)\ge y)&\le P(g(F_R)-E[g(F_R)]\ge y/2)\\ &\le\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})R^{2-\alpha}}y^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now, with $y=R$,

$$P(F_R-m(F_R)\ge R)\le\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}R^\alpha\right) \tag{52}$$

as long as, using (50),

$$R^\alpha\le\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}u_n^*(\alpha).$$

In order to control $P(F_R-m(F)\ge x)$ from (52), we need to control $m(F_R)-m(F)$. To this end, we apply Lemma 5.1 in [1] with

$$\beta(R)=2R,\quad\tilde{\gamma}(R)=\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}R^\alpha\right),\quad R_0=\left(\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}u_n^*(\alpha)\right)^{1/\alpha}$$

and where the hypothesis $R \geq R_0$ in Lemma 5.1 is replaced by $R \leq R_0$, so that the final condition on R is changed in the same way.

We thus have $m(F_R) - m(F) \leq R$, for all R such that

$$\inf_{0<\delta<1/2} \max\left(\gamma^{-1}(\delta), \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)\right) \leq R \leq \left(\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} u_n^*(\alpha)\right)^{1/\alpha}, \tag{53}$$

where $\gamma(R)$ is given in (49). We thus have for $R = x/2$

$$\begin{aligned} P(F_R - m(F) \geq x) \leq P(F_R - m(F_R) \geq x/2) &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})} R^\alpha\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^\alpha\right). \end{aligned}$$

From (48), it follows that

$$P(F_R - m(F) \geq x) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^\alpha\right) + \gamma(x), \tag{54}$$

as long as the condition (53) holds, that is in terms of x as long as

$$\inf_{0<\delta<1/2} \max\left(\gamma^{-1}(\delta), \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)\right) \leq \frac{x}{2} \leq \left(\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} u_n^*(\alpha)\right)^{1/\alpha}. \tag{55}$$

Next, we compare the two summands in the right-hand side of (54) using first $\gamma(R) = \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}$ and next $\gamma(R) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}\right)$ in order to estimate the remainder term $P(\omega_R^c \neq 0)$.

First choice for the function γ

In this section, we take $\gamma(R) = \dfrac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha R^\alpha}$ and we have:

Proposition 4 *Let F be a stochastic functional on the Poisson space equipped with the α-stable Lévy measure (2), $\alpha \in (0,2)$. Assume that*

$$|D_y F(\omega)| \leq ||y||, \qquad P(d\omega) \otimes \nu(dy)\text{-a.e.}$$

Then for ε satisfying (28), the following deviation holds

$$P(F - m(F) \geq x) \leq (1+\varepsilon) \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^\alpha\right) \tag{56}$$

for all x in the range

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\inf_{0<\delta<1/2} \max\left(\gamma^{-1}(\delta), \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)\right)^\alpha\right) \vee \frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} u_1(n,\alpha) \\ &\qquad \leq \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^\alpha \leq \frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} \big(u_2(n,\alpha) \wedge u_n^*(\alpha)\big) \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

where $u_1(n,\alpha)$, $u_1(n,\alpha)$ and $u_n^*(\alpha)$ are as in Proposition 2 with $\delta = 1$.

This result generalizes Proposition 6.3 in [1] in the same way Propositions 2 and 3 generalize Theorem 2 in [4].

Proof. As in Section 2, we compare the two summands in (54) studying the function $h_{n,\alpha}$ in (35). With notations as in Section 2 and for ε satisfying (28), we derive (56) for

$$\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}u_1(n,\alpha) < \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha} < \frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}u_2(n,\alpha),$$

and still under the condition (55). ■

Discussion on the range of deviation in (57)

- We have

  $$\gamma^{-1}(\delta) = \left(\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha\delta}\right)^{1/\alpha}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}(\delta) = \left(\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\log(1/\delta)\right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

  Since there is a unique solution $\delta_0(n,\alpha) \in (0, 1/2)$ to the equation

  $$\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha} = \delta \log \frac{1}{1/2-\delta}, \tag{58}$$

  we have $\inf\limits_{0<\delta<1/2} \max\left(\gamma^{-1}(\delta), \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)\right)^{\alpha} = \dfrac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha\delta_0(n,\alpha)}$.

- Moreover, note that since the left-hand side of (58) goes to zero when $\alpha \to 2$ or when $n \to +\infty$, we have $\delta_0(n,\alpha)\log\left(\dfrac{1}{1/2-\delta_0(n,\alpha)}\right) \to 0$ and thus $\delta_0(n,\alpha) \to 0$. It is also easy to deduce an equivalent for $\delta_0(n,\alpha)$ for $\alpha \to 2$ or for $n \to +\infty$:

  $$\delta_0(n,\alpha) \simeq \frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha\log 2}$$

  where, when $x \to x_0$, $f(x) \simeq g(x)$ means $\lim\limits_{x\to x_0}\dfrac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$. We thus have for $\alpha \to 2$ or for $n \to +\infty$,

  $$\inf_{0<\delta<1/2} \max\left(\gamma^{-1}(\delta), \tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)\right)^{\alpha} \simeq \frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})\log 2}{2-\alpha}. \tag{59}$$

- Since we have seen $u_2(n,\alpha) > \log\left(\dfrac{2n\alpha\varepsilon}{2-\alpha}\right) > 1$. The upper bound of the domain (57) in Proposition 4 can thus be taken to be

  $$\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(\log\left(\frac{2n\alpha\varepsilon}{2-\alpha}\right) \wedge u_n^*(\alpha)\right). \tag{60}$$

Comments on the bounds in the domain (57)

For α close enough to 2 or for n large enough, we have seen in Section 2.2 the limits (39). Similarly, for any $\eta > 0$ and for α close enough to 2 or for n large enough, the domain (57) reduces to

$$(1+\eta)\sigma(S^{d-1})\left(\frac{2n\log 2}{2-\alpha}\vee\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}\right) < x^\alpha < \begin{cases} \dfrac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\log\left(\sqrt{\dfrac{n-1}{2-\alpha}}\right) & \text{if } \alpha\to 2, \\ \dfrac{6n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha} & \text{if } n\to+\infty. \end{cases}$$

Remark 11

- Proposition 4 complements Theorem 6.1 in [1] exhibiting for any $\alpha \in (0,2)$ a deviation regime in $\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^\alpha\right)$ for x in a finite range, while Theorem 6.1 gives a deviation regime in $1/x^\alpha$ for x large enough, of order at least

$$\sigma(S^{d-1})\frac{2}{2-\alpha}\log\left(\frac{2}{2-\alpha}\right)\log\left(\frac{2}{2-\alpha}\log\left(\frac{2}{2-\alpha}\right)\right).$$

- When α is close to 2, we recover a range of the same type as in Theorem 6.3 in [1].

Second choice for the function γ

Here, like in Section 2.3, we estimate the remainder term $P(\omega_R^c \neq 0)$ using directly (4) rather than the bound (5), we obtain the following result probably less sharp, but with more explicit bounds.

Proposition 5 *Let F be a stochastic functional on the Poisson space equipped with the α-stable Lévy measure (2), $\alpha \in (0,2)$. Assume that*

$$|D_yF(\omega)| \le ||y||, \qquad P(d\omega)\otimes\nu(dy)\text{-}a.e.$$

Then for any ε satisfynig (28), the following deviation holds

$$P(F-m(F)\ge x) \le (1+\varepsilon)\exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^\alpha\right) \tag{61}$$

for all x in the range

$$\left(\inf_{0<\delta<1/2}\max\left(\gamma^{-1}(\delta),\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)\right)^\alpha\right)\vee\frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right) \tag{62}$$
$$< \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^\alpha < \frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(\left(1+\left(\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\wedge 0.68\right)\right)\wedge u_n^*(\alpha)/2\right).$$

Taking limits in (62) yields nothing since $1\pm(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha})^{2/3} \to 0$ when $\alpha \to 2$ or when $n \to +\infty$.

Proof. As in Section 2.3, we compare the two summands in (54) studying now the function $g_{n,\alpha}$ in (44). As in Section 2.3, we derive (61) for x in the range

$$0 < \frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3}\right) < \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha} < \frac{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})}{2-\alpha}\left(1+\left(\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\alpha}\right)^{2/3} \wedge 0.68\right)\right),$$

and still under condition (55). ■

Lower range for index $\alpha > 1$

Finally, note that it is a simple verification that the counterpart of Proposition 1 holds for functional on the Poisson space, namely,

Proposition 6 *Let F be a stochastic functional on the Poisson space equipped with the stable Lévy measure (2) with index $\alpha \in (1,2)$. Assume that*

$$|D_yF(\omega)| \le ||y||, \qquad P(d\omega)\otimes\nu(dy)\text{-a.e.}$$

Then for all n large enough and for all

$$\lambda \in \left]\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{2(\alpha-1)^2 n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}{\alpha(2-\alpha)}}\right), \frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1}\left(1+\frac{\alpha-1}{2-\alpha}nu_n^*(\alpha)\right)\right[,$$

there exists $x_1(n,\alpha,\lambda) > 0$ such that for all $0 \le x \le x_1(n,\alpha,\lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P(f(X)-E[f(X)] \ge x) \\ &\le \exp\left(-\frac{2-\alpha}{2n\sigma(S^{d-1})^{1/(\alpha-1)}}(\lambda-\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha-1})^2\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\right)+\frac{\sigma(S^{d-1})}{\alpha}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \le 1. \end{aligned}$$

The same comments as in Remarks 3 and 5 apply.

For stable index $\alpha \le 1$, lower range deviation requires to investigate the convergence of the median of truncated functional which, at this point, requires more work.

References

[1] J.-C. Breton, C. Houdré, N. Privault, *Dimension free and infinite variance tail estimates on Poisson space*, Preprint, 2004.

[2] C. Houdré, *Remarks on deviation inequalities for functions of infinitely divisible random vectors*, Ann. Probab., vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 1123–1237, 2002.

[3] C. Houdré, P. Marchal, *On the concentration of measure phenomenon for stable and related random vectors*, Ann. Probab., vol. 32, no. 2, p. 1496–1508, 2004.

[4] P. Marchal, *A note on measure concentration for stable distributions with index close to* 2, Preprint, 2004.